JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	
Joyce Trotman		Temple Health Fox Chase Cancer Center	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)  Malamut & Associates, LLC, 457 Haddonfield Rd #50 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 (856) 424-1808		Attorneys (If Known)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	PTF DEF  Citizen of This State	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5 of Business In Another State	
		Citizen or Subject of a 3 5 Foreign Nation 6 6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI		Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES  Y 625 Drug Related Seizure 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act	
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability Product Liability 367 Health Care/ 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical	Act   Property 21 USC 881   423 Withdrawal   28 USC 157   3729(a))   410 Antitrust   410 Antitrust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce   450 Consumer Credit   (15 USC 1681 or 1692)   485 Telephone Consumer   480 Consumer Credit   (15 USC 1681 or 1692)   485 Telephone Consumer   490 Cable/Sat TV   490 Cable/Sat TV	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	in One Box Only)	<u> </u>	
	moved from 3 Remanded from the Court Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or Reopened	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	American with Disabilities Act	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND: ▼Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF RECORD	
November 14, 2024 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	/s/ Mark R. Natale		
	MOUNT' APPLYING IFP	JUDGE MAG. JUDGE	

# Case 2:24-cv-06098-Kinnsted Species on trict File of 1/14/24 Page 2 of 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

**DESIGNATION FORM**(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

	ff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of a			
Address of Plaintiff:		·		
Address of Defendant:	333 Cottman Avenue, Philadelp			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	Temple Health Fox Chase Cancer Center			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answere	ed to any of the following questions:			
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No   No   No   No   No   No   No   No				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case it is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  DATE:   11/14/2024  Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff  Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)	P. Divaucity Lywindiatic	on Cacas		
	2. Airplane Person   3. Assault, Defa   4. Marine Person   5. Motor Vehic   6. Other Person   7. Products Lial   8. Products Lial   9. All other Div	ontract and Other Contracts sonal Injury amation onal Injury ele Personal Injury nal Injury (Please specify): bility bility — Asbestos		
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)  A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION	ontract and Other Contracts sonal Injury amation onal Injury ele Personal Injury hal Injury (Please specify): bility bility — Asbestos versity Cases y):		
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)  A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 7. 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  (The effect	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from eligibin	ontract and Other Contracts sonal Injury amation onal Injury ele Personal Injury hal Injury (Please specify): bility bility — Asbestos versity Cases y):		
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)  A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  (The effect	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION ct of this certification is to remove the case from eligibic.  All Other Contracts  1. Insurance Co. 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defa 4. Marine Person 6. Other Person 7. Products Lial 8. Products Lial 9. All other Div (Please specify)	ontract and Other Contracts sonal Injury amation onal Injury le Personal Injury nal Injury (Please specify): bility bility — Asbestos versity Cases iv):  lity for arbitration.)		
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)  A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  (The effect	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  Ct of this certification is to remove the case from eligibia.  Counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, th	ontract and Other Contracts sonal Injury amation onal Injury le Personal Injury nal Injury (Please specify): bility bility — Asbestos versity Cases iv):  lity for arbitration.)		
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)  A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  (The effect	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  Ct of this certification is to remove the case from eligibia.  Counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the of interest and costs:	ontract and Other Contracts sonal Injury amation onal Injury le Personal Injury nal Injury (Please specify): bility bility — Asbestos versity Cases iv):  lity for arbitration.)		
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)  A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  (The effect  I, Mark R. Natale  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive  Relief other than monetary damages is soci	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  Ct of this certification is to remove the case from eligibia.  Counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the of interest and costs:	ontract and Other Contracts sonal Injury amation onal Injury le Personal Injury nal Injury (Please specify): bility bility — Asbestos versity Cases iv):  lity for arbitration.)		
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)  A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  (The effect  Mark R. Natale  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) of exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive  Relief other than monetary damages is soon	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION ct of this certification is to remove the case from eligibit.  ARBITRATION ct of this certification is to remove the case from eligibit.  (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, th of interest and costs:  1. Insurance Co 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defa 4. Marine Person 6. Other Person 7. Products Lial 9. All other Div (Please specify)	ontract and Other Contracts sonal Injury amation onal Injury le Personal Injury nal Injury (Please specify): bility bility – Asbestos versity Cases versity Cases versity for arbitration.)		

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOYCE TROTMAN :

1971 Elston St, : Civil Action

Philadelphia, PA 19138 : No.

Plaintiff :

:

**v.** 

TEMPLE HEALTH

FOX CHASE CANCER CENTER :

333 Cottman Ave, : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Philadelphia, PA 19111 :

Defendant :

### **COMPLAINT**

- 1. This is an action for an award of damages, declaratory and injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and other relief on behalf of Plaintiff, JOYCE TROTMAN. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants, TEMPLE HEALTH FOX CHASE CANCER CENTER, (TEMPLE HEALTH), who has been harmed by the Defendants' discriminatory employment practices.
- 2. This action arises under the Family Medical Leave Act, Pub. L. 114–74 at sec. 701. (FMLA).

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked, and venue is proper in this district, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as Plaintiff's claims are substantively based on the Family Medical Leave Act.
- 4. The Eastern District of Pennsylvania has general jurisdiction over the Defendants, and specific jurisdiction over this matter as the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in the Eastern District.

#### **PARTIES**

5. The Plaintiff herein is JOYCE TROTMAN, former employee of the Defendant.

6. The Defendant herein is TEMPLE HEALTH. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant was acting through their agents, servants, and employees, who were acting within the scope of their authority, course of their employment, and under the direct control of Defendants. At all times material herein, Defendant is an "employer" as defined under the Family Medical Leave Act.

#### **UNDERLYING FACTS**

- 7. On or about May 31, 2022, Defendant hired Plaintiff as a Triage Dispatcher at its Fox Chase Cancer Center in Philadelphia, PA.
- 8. At all relevant times material hereto, Plaintiff was an eligible employee as defined by the Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA)..
- 9. Prior to Plaintiff being hired by Defendant, Plaintiff was diagnosed with breast cancer.
- 10. Plaintiff was entitled to leave under the FMLA.
- 11. In or around June 2023, Plaintiff's doctor, Dr. Sameer Patel of Temple Health, notified Plaintiff was required to have reconstructive surgery due to complications with her breast cancer.
- 12. Plaintiff's medical care provider for her cancer was Temple Health, the very place she worked at.
- 13. In or around June 2023, Plaintiff informed her supervisor Rose DeRentz, Supervisor, of the need for reconstructive surgery that was to be performed in July 2023.
- 14. On July 26, 2023, Plaintiff was under the impression that her surgery would be rescheduled to the following week.
- 15. Plaintiff requested FMLA leave from July 27, 2023 to August 23, 2023 due to her surgery, through a portal run by Matrix Absence Management, Inc. a third-party company that processes FMLA leave requests on behalf of Defendant, TEMPLE HEALTH.

- 16. On July 16, 2023, Defendant suspended Plaintiff for one day without pay.
- 17. In or about July 2023, Deborah Rizzo, Administrative Assistant, informed Plaintiff that her FMLA paperwork was properly submitted. Dr. Melissa McShane, Plaintiff's FMLA leave was approved by.
- 18. Plaintiff's FMLA leave was to begin on July 24, 2023, and end on August 24, 2023.
- 19. In August 2023, Matrix Absence Management, Inc. informed me that it had not received my paperwork from my doctor, despite the fact that Dr. McShane faxed it several times.
- 20. Plaintiff was diligently working with Dr. Patel, Defendant's employee, to schedule a date for surgery. On August 15, 2023, his office informed Plaintiff that her scheduled date for surgery would be October 24, 2023.
- 21. On August 15, 2023, after Plaintiff knew the scheduled date of surgery, Plaintiff contacted her supervisor Rose DeRentz.
- 22. On August 21, 2023, Plaintiff underwent a mammogram at Temple Health for examination of her breast cancer.
- 23. That day, Plaintiff asked to return to work. Ms. DeRentz informed Plaintiff that she would have to check with the Human Resources Department before she could schedule Plaintiff's return date.
- 24. On August 23, 2023, Ms. DeRentz called Plaintiff on the phone and informed her that Defendant was terminating Plaintiff's employment.
- 25. Defendant accused Plaintiff of misrepresenting her requested leave time.
- 26. On the same day, Defendant's oncologist, Dr. McShane, called Plaintiff to tell her that the mammogram results were abnormal, and required a biopsy to examine if the abnormality is benign (not cancer).

- 27. Dr. Mc Shane informed Plaintiff that she needed to visit her office immediately.
- 28. Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment on August 23, 2023, one day before was scheduled to return from leave.

#### **COUNT ONE**

# DENIAL OF LEAVE AND RETALIATION UNDER THE FAMILY MEDICAL LEAVE ACT

- 29. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations set forth in all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as if they were set forth in full herein.
- 30. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an eligible employee under the FMLA.
- 31. At all times material hereto, Defendant was an eligible employer under the FMLA, engaged in commerce or in any industry or activity affecting commerce, and employed 50 or more employees in the current or preceding calendar year.
- 32. Under the FMLA, as a general rule, Plaintiff was entitled to a total of 12 work weeks of leave during any 12-month period ) cause of a serious health condition that makes the employee unable to perform the functions of the employee's job (*see* §§ 825.113 and 825.123);
- 33. FMLA is intended to allow employees to balance their work and family life by taking reasonable unpaid leave for medical reasons. 29 C.F.R. §825.101.
- 34. Plaintiff has a serious health condition entitling Plaintiff to FMLA leave defined as an illness, injury, impairment or physical or mental condition that involves inpatient care as defined in § 825.114 or **continuing treatment** by a health care provider as defined in § 825.115. 29 C.F.R. §825.113(a).
- 35. Ironically, Defendant is a Cancer Treatment Facility and Plaintiff was treated by doctors employed Defendant.

- 36. The FMLA states that the term treatment includes (but is not limited to) examinations to determine if a serious health condition exists and evaluations of the condition. 29 C.F.R. \$825.113(c).
- 37. Plaintiff requested and was entitled to FMLA leave for her condition because of her serious condition and reoccurring cancer diagnosis.
- 38. She was undergoing treatment evaluations for her serious condition when Defendant terminated her employment.
- 39. Defendant denied her FMLA leave as required by the Act.
- 40. Defendant interfered with Plaintiff's FMLA rights and retaliated against Plaintiff for exercising her rights under the FMLA, including wrongfully terminating her employment.
- 41. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has also suffered economic loss and emotional distress due to Defendant's violation of her rights under the FMLA.
- 42. Lastly, the intentional and malicious actions of Defendant, including its upper-level managers, justify the imposition of punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant TEMPLE HEALTH, FOX CHASE CANCER CENTER, for damages, including but not limited to back and front wages, employment benefits, and all monetary losses sustained as a direct result of the violations; liquidated damages; interest; equitable relief; injunctive relief; reinstatement; attorneys' fees; costs of suit; experts' fees; punitive damages; any and all relief provided for by the Family and Medical Leave Act; and any and all relief that the Court deems just and proper.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor against the Defendants, and order that:

- A. Defendants compensate Plaintiff with back pay, at a rate of pay and other benefits and emoluments of employment to which she would have been entitled had she not been subjected to unlawful discrimination based on her disability and had granted her Family Medical Leave Act leave.
- B. Defendants compensate Plaintiff with an award of front pay, if appropriate.
- C. Defendants pay to Plaintiff punitive damages, compensatory damages for future pecuniary losses, pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, and other nonpecuniary losses as allowable.
- D. Defendants pay to Plaintiff pre and post judgment interest, the costs of suit and attorney and expert witness fees as allowed by law.
- E. Defendants shall eliminate all unlawful discriminatory practices as well as remedy the discriminatory effect of past practices and procedures.
- F. The Court shall award such other relief as if deemed just and proper, in law and/or equity, including injunctive relief if the Honorable Court deems said relief appropriate.

### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

### MALAMUT & ASSOCIATES, LLC

By. /s/ Mark R. Natale
Mark R. Natale - 316939
457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 500
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
856-424-1808
856-424-2032 (f)
mnatale@malamutlaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff